

design parameter space for higher yield implosions

improve the yield.

efficiently search the design space.



codes with large design parameter spaces

- ICF at NIF uses a gold hohlraum and 192 lasers to drive a capsule containing fusion fuel
- ICF experiments are developed by teams of design experts who use several high-fidelity multi-physics codes [1] to build their designs
- The design space spans over at least a few dozen independent parameters



Traditional design optimization workflow uses higher-level physics parameters [3] ~250 lower parameters 1D quantities e.g: Peak Laser Power Foot Laser Power Velocity of 1st shock 3D quantities, e.g: Ice Perturbations Capsule Roughness Intrinsic Asymmetry Laser Power Balance

for automating ICF design

Bayesian Optimization process:

- Observe data points
- . Build a model to fit observed data and quantify uncertainty (surrogate model)
- Determine the next candidate points to sample using the acquisition function
- 4. Sample the next point and add it to our set of data points
- 5. Repeat





Exploring multi-fidelity Bayesian optimization for inertial confinement fusion design

S. Humane¹, M. G. Fernández-Godino², E. Kur², C. Kuranz¹, J. L. Peterson² ¹University of Michigan, ²Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL)

Using a multi-fidelity approach avoids the need to run many expensive high-fidelity simulations

- Multi-fidelity Bayesian optimization uses cost-aware acquisition functions that automatically balances the cost of running more expensive, more informative simulations vs. less expensive, less informative ones -e.g. Knowledge Gradient (**KG**), Max-value entropy search (**MES**)
- Multi-fidelity surrogate models help pass information from the low fidelity simulations to the high-fidelity surrogate -e.g. Gaussian Process (GP), Neural Network with uncertainty (delUQ) -Allows Bayes opt to explore the search space more quickly and intelligently
- In our tests, high- and low- fidelity simulations are conducted with and without thermonuclear burn, respectively — In practice, the two (or more) fidelities will have more distinct run times to better exploit the faster lower fidelity simulations

To aid rapid debugging of our algorithm, we substitute the HYDRA simulator with an approximate neural network

Neural network approximator to HYDRA is constructed as follows:

- 1. Build a database of 1D HYDRA simulations in an 8-parameter design space
- 2. Train a neural network on high (burn on) and low (burn off) fidelity simulations to create a high-fidelity and a low-fidelity model
- 3. Substitute high- and low-fidelity HYDRA simulations in the algorithm with corresponding neural network

Since the neural network test function is an approximation to HYDRA, success on the test problem is a positive sign for future ICF design optimization



Results from algorithm optimizing yield in an 8-parameter design space. (top) Yield of each point chosen by the algorithm over 19 iterations. Algorithm preferentially chooses high-fidelity simulations, possibly due to low correlation between burn off and burn on yield. (bottom) Highest yield found by the algorithm so far. Consistent improvement in max yield found shows algorithm is performing as expected

References	
[1] M. M. Marinak, et al., Phys. Plasmas 8, 2275 (2001)	[4] Ch
[2] Thiagarajan, J. J., et al. ICML (2022)	[5] Ba
[3] Lindl, et al., Phys. Plasmas 21 , 020501 (2014)	



andradevan, et al., Towards Data Science (2017) landat, et al., Adv. Neural Sys. 33(2020)

Optimization algorithm shows promise when applied to ICF test problem



- in high dimensional problems

We are beginning to evaluate performance of the algorithm with HYDRA fully integrated in the optimization loop



- points as it progresses
- to 2D simulations
- roughness of capsule)



Knowledge gradient (KG) results in incremental improvement • Max-value entropy search (MES) prioritizes low-fidelity exploration, and accumulates information before making an informed decision —Benefits from a final recommendation step (in progress)

Neural networks are able to handle large scaling and nonlinearity required

-delUQ in above figure outperforms GP surrogate model

5-parameter scan using HYDRA [1] chooses both high- and low-fidelity

Next steps include scaling to larger number of candidate points and moving

Eventually, we hope to modify the cost function and optimization algorithm to search more specific design spaces (e.g. designs robust to surface